

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•				
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/723,255		1/26/2003	David J. Yonce	279.627US1	6065		
21186	7590	11/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SCHWEGM	IAN, LU	NDBERG, WOE	FAULCON JR, LENWOOD				
1600 TCF TC	OWER						
121 SOUTH	EIGHT S	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55402		3762			

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\bigcirc$	2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,255	YONCE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lenwood Faulcon, Jr.	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  Dwance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/723,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornzin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,456,881).

Bornzin et al. teaches of a system and method for fusion detection in a multi-chamber stimulation device (col. 4 lines 29-32). Bornzin et al. also teaches of detecting an evoked response and comparing it to an evoked response template to determine if there is a match, which would indicate capture (col. 8 lines 31-42). Bornzin et al. further teaches of adjusting a pacing parameter before comparing a subsequent detected evoked response with an evoked response template (Figure 3). Bornzin et al. teaches that it is well known in the art that at the time of device implant, pacing parameters adjusted to insure a proper and optimum fitting to the patient (col. 1 lines 66-67, col. 2 lines 1-6, col. 6 lines 52-58).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-20 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claims 1-20 present allowable subject matter over the prior art since the prior art teachings do not teach of a cardiac rhythm management device and method that delivers paces to both

Application/Control Number: 10/723,255 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

the right and left ventricles at a programmed biventricular offset interval and after a programmed AV delay interval with respect to an atrial event, then switches to left ventricle only pacing mode while recording an evoked response test electrogram, then compares the test electrogram to an evoked response template electrogram previously recorded during left ventricle only pacing, then adjusts the programmed AV delay interval to match the patient's current intrinsic AV interval and then returns to the biventricular pacing mode with the adjusted AV delay interval.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kleks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,350,410), Lu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,458,623), Maarse (U.S. Patent No. 6,128,535), Lu (U.S. Patent No. 6,697,673), Sloman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,738,669), Kroll (U.S. 2001/0049543).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenwood Faulcon, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-6090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9 to 5 pm.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes, can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lenwood Faulcon, Jr.

George Manuel

Page 4

Primary Examiner